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1. Introduction

The semantic binary model [Rishe-88-DDF] represents the information of an application’s world as a collection of elementary facts of two types: unary facts categorizing objects of the real world and binary facts establishing relationships of various kinds between pairs of objects. The objects are classified into non-disjoint categories. Inheritance of properties of categories is determined by a graph of sub categories and super categories. The graphical database schema and the integrity constraints determine what sets of facts are meaningful, i.e. can comprise an instantaneous database (the database as may be seen at some instance of time.) The database aggregates information about abstract objects. Abstract objects stand for real entities of the user’s world. The representation of abstract objects is transparent to the user and is unprintable. In addition to the abstract objects, the database contains, in a subservient role, concrete, or printable, objects. These are character strings, numbers, dates, etc.

This paper proposes a non-procedural language for semantic databases in general, and in particular for the Semantic Binary Model. The foundation of the language is a database interpretation of a first-order predicate calculus [Rishe-88-DDF]. The calculus is enriched with second-order constructs for aggregation (statistical functions), specification of transactions, parametrized query forms and other uses. The language is called SD-calculus (Semantic Database Calculus.)

Of special interest is the use of this language for specification of bulk transactions, including generation of sets of new abstract objects. This problem does not exist in the relational databases because there the user controls the representation of his objects by data, namely by key attributes of those objects. (E.g. persons might be represented in the relational model by their social security numbers, provided such numbers are unique, always exist for every person, and do not change with time. In the semantic models the user does not care how the persons are represented.)

Another especially interesting feature of the proposed language is the automatic intuitively-meaningful handling of null-values, i.e. of application of non-total functional relations.

The language proposed in this paper can also be used with most other semantic models: Abrial’s Binary Model [Abrial-74], the IFO model [Abiteboul&Hull-84], SDM [Hammer&McLeod-81], SEMBASE [King-84], NIAM ([Nijssen-81], [Nijssen&VanBekkum-82], [Leung&Nijssen-87]), GEM [Tsur&Zaniolo-84], TAxIS [Nixon&al.-87], or the Entity-Relationship Model [Chen-76].

The examples in this paper refer to the schema of Figure 1. That schema describes some activities of a Dining Club.

The following syntactic notation is used in the definitions of syntactic constructs and in the examples: language keywords are set in boldface; the names of the relations and categories from the database are set in italic; in syntax description templates, items to be substituted are set in lower-case italics.

2. Semantic Model Terminology

An object is any item in the real world. It can be either a concrete object or an abstract object as follows. A value, or a concrete object, is a printable object, such as a number, a character string, or a date. An abstract object is a non-value object in the real world. An abstract object can be, for example, a tangible item (such as a person, a table, a country), or an event, offering of a course by an instructor, or an idea.

A category is any concept of the application’s real world which is a unary property of objects. An object may belong to several categories at the same time. A binary relation is any concept of the application’s real world which is a binary property of objects, that is, the meaning of a relationship or connection between two objects. Notation: "x R y" means that object x is related by the relation R to object y. A binary relation R is many-to-one (m:1, functional) if at no point in time xRy and xRz where y ≠ z. A category C is the domain of R if it satisfies the following two conditions: (a) whenever xRy then x belongs to C; and (b) no proper subcategory of C satisfies (a). A category C is the range of R if it satisfies the following two conditions: (a) whenever xRy then y belongs to C; and (b) no proper subcategory of C satisfies (a). A relation R whose domain is C is total if at all times for every object x in C there exists an object y such that xRy.
3. Preview of the language

Non-procedural language — a language in which the user specifies what is to be done without specifying how it is to be done.

Example 3-1.
What waiters have served every patron?
get waiter.LAST-NAME where
(for every s in PATRON:
  exists meal in MEAL:
    ((meal THE-PATRON s) and
     (meal.THE-PARTY. THE-WAITER = waiter)))

4. First-order predicate calculus expressions

The First-order Predicate Calculus can be applied to semantic databases, if we regard the instantaneous database as a finite structure with binary relations, unary relations (categories), and functions (functional relations).

Expression — a combination of constants, variables, operators, and parentheses. The syntax and semantics are given below.

An expression may depend on some variables. When the variables are interpreted as some fixed objects, the expression can be evaluated with respect to a given instantaneous database, and will yield an object, abstract or concrete. The following are syntactic forms of expressions:
1. **constant**
   a. number
   b. character-string (in quotes)
   c. Boolean value (TRUE and FALSE)
   d. Date

2. **variable**
   A variable is a sequence of letters, digits, and hyphens. The first character must be a letter.

3. (expression)
   Parentheses in expressions may be omitted when no ambiguity results.

4. (expression basic-binary-operator expression)
   The basic binary operators are: +, -, *, /, >, ≥, ≤, =, ≠, and, or.
   Each operator may be used only when the expressions yield values of types appropriate for the operator. The only basic binary operators defined for abstract objects are '=' and '~', which produce TRUE or FALSE as results.

5. (if expression then expression)
   "if e₁ then e₂" is equivalent to "(not e₁) or e₂"

6. (expression relation expression)
   The relation is a relation from the userview. The result is TRUE if the two objects are related by the relation in the instantaneous database.

Example 4-1.
(x BIRTH-DATE 1960)
The value of this Boolean expression depends on the variable x.

7. (basic-unary-operator expression)
   The basic unary operators are: -, not.

Example 4-2.
(not (> 11)) = TRUE

8. (expression is a category)
   This Boolean expression yields TRUE when the object is in the category in the instantaneous database.

Example 4-3.
  x is a PATRON

9. (expression . functional-relation)
   x.R is the object related by the relation R to x, it is the object y from the instantaneous database such that (x R y) gives TRUE. Such an expression is called dot-application.

Example 4-4.
  • x.BIRTH-DATE
  • e.THE-PARTY.THE-WAITER.LAST-NAME
The dot-application is well-defined for total functional relations. The case of non-total functional relations will be discussed later.

10. (exists variable in category : expression)
    The ':' may be pronounced 'so that the following is true':
    The contained expression must be Boolean. The result is also Boolean.
    The result is TRUE when there exists at least one object in the category which satisfies the Boolean expression.
    The expression normally depends on the variable, but may also depend on additional variables. The resulting expression no longer depends on the variable.

Interpretation:
    Let a₁, a₂, ..., aₙ be all the objects in the category in the instantaneous database.
    Let e₁, e₂, ..., eₙ be obtained from the expression by substituting each of a₁, a₂, ..., aₙ for all the occurrences of the variable in the expression.

    Then
    exists variable in category : expression
    is equivalent to
    e₁ or e₂ or ... or eₙ

Example 4-5.
(exists x in WAITER : x.BIRTH-DATE = y)
This is TRUE if there is at least one waiter who was born on date y. The whole expression depends only on the variable y.

The keyword 'exists' is called 'the existential quantifier'.

11. (for every variable in category : expression)
    The ':' is pronounced 'the following is true':
    The expression must be Boolean. The result is also Boolean. It is TRUE when all the objects of the category satisfy the Boolean expression. The expression usually depends on the variable, and may also depend on additional variables. The resulting expression no longer depends on the variable.

Interpretation:
    Let a₁, a₂, ..., aₙ be all the objects in the category in the instantaneous database.
    Let e₁, e₂, ..., eₙ be obtained from the expression by substituting each of a₁, a₂, ..., aₙ for all the occurrences of the variable in the expression.
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Then
for every variable in category : expression
is equivalent to
$e_1$ and $e_2$ and ... and $e_n$.

**Example 4-6.**
(for every $x$ in *WAITER* : $x.BIRTH\cdot DATE = y$)
This is TRUE if all the waiters were born in the date $y$. The whole expression depends only on the variable $y$.

The keyword "for every" is called "the universal quantifier".

Note:
for every variable in category : expression
is equivalent to
not (exists variable in category : not expression)

Usage of variables:
The variable after a quantifier in a sub-expression
should not be used outside that sub-expression.
Although many versions of Predicate Calculus do not
have this requirement, this requirement does not
decrease the power of SD-calculus, but improves reada-
bility, prevents some typical errors in query
specification, and simplifies the semantics.

**Example 4-7.**
WRONG:
(exists $x$ in *PERSON* : $x$ is a *PATRON*) and ($x.BIRTH\cdot DATE = 1970$)
Here, $x$ appears in the quantifier of the left sub-
expression, but also appears in the right sub-
expression. Logically, these are two distinct
variables, and they should not be called by the
same name 'x'.

To use the expressions correctly, we shall need to know
what variables are quantified in an expression, and on
what variables an expression depends.

Quantified variable: variable $v$ is quantified in expression $e$
if $v$ has an appearance in $e$ immediately after a
quantifier.

**Example 4-8.**
The variable $v$ is quantified in:

\[(\overline{z>0}) \text{ or } (\exists v \text{ in } PATRON : v \text{ is a } WAITER)\]

Expression $e$ depends on variable $v$ if $v$ appears in $e$ and is
not quantified.

**Example 4-9.**
The following expression depends on $z$ and $x$, but
not on $y$.
\[(\overline{z>0}) \text{ or } (\exists y \text{ in } PATRON : x = y.BIRTH\cdot DATE)\]

Notation: when an expression $e$ depending on variables $x_1$, $x_2$, ..., $x_k$ is referred to (not in the actual syntax of the
language), it may be denoted as
\[e(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)\]
(In many sources, a variable on which an expression
depends is called a free variable in that expression. An
expression which depends on no variables is called a
closed expression.)

Condition on variables $x_1, x_2, ..., x_k$ — a Boolean expression
which depends on $x_1, x_2$.

Assertion — a Boolean expression which does not depend
on any variable, that is, every variable is restricted by a
quantifier.

Interpretation: for a given instantaneous database, the
assertion produces true or false.

**Example 4-10.**
Assertion that every patron had a dinner at the
club on 1-Jan-88:
for every $p$ in *PATRON*:
exists meal in *MEAL*:
(meal.THE-PATRON = p) and
(meal.THE-PARTY.THE-SHIFT.THE-DATE = 1-Jan-88)

5. Dot-application of non-total functional relations
If $f$ is not total then $e.f$ could be ambiguous. In order to
provide a meaningful intuitive result, the dot-application
$e.f$ of a non-total functional relation $f$ to an expression $e$
is interpreted by the DBMS by analyzing the whole condition
or assertion containing the dot-application.

**Example 5-1.**
Consider the following assertion which contains
a dot-application of the non-total relation
*BIRTH\cdot DATE*.
for every $y$ in *PATRON*:
*y.BIRTH\cdot DATE* > 1980
This assertion will be interpreted by the DBMS as
for every $y$ in *PATRON*:
exists $x$ in *Integer*:
*y.BIRTH\cdot DATE* $x$ and $x$ > 1980
The quantification over the concrete category
*Integer* is over the finite set of integers
which happen to be present in the instan-
taneous database at the time of the
expression's evaluation.
This interpretation of the dot-application of non-total functional relations can be defined formally as follows.

An expression \( e.f \), where \( e \) is an expression and \( f \) is a database functional relation, is formally regarded as a syntactic abbreviation. Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) be the variables on which the expression \( e \) depends. For the above example, the only such variable is \( y \).

Let \( \phi \) be the largest sub-expression (within the whole assertion or condition) containing \( e.f \) and still depending on all the variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \), that is, none of these variables is quantified in the subformula \( \phi \). (\( \phi \) may depend also on additional variables.) For the above example,

\[
\phi = (y.BIRTH-DATE > 1980)
\]

Let \( C \) be the range of \( f \).

Let \( \psi = \phi_y \). (That is, \( \psi \) is obtained from \( \phi \) by substitution of a new variable \( x \) for all the occurrences of \( (e.f) \) in \( \psi \).) For the above example,

\[
\psi = (x > 1980)
\]

Then \( \phi \) stands for:

\[
\text{exists } x \text{ in } C : ((e.f.x) \text{ and } \psi)
\]

6. Queries

Specification of a query to retrieve a table, that is, a set of rows of values:

get expression, ..., expression

where \( \text{condition-on-the-variables-on-which-the-expressions-depend} \)

Interpretation of

get \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) where \( \phi(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \)

The variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) are assigned all the possible tuples of objects from the instantaneous database which make \( \phi(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \) true; the expressions \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) are evaluated for these tuples and the corresponding results are output. (The output is not printable if any of the expressions produces an abstract object.)

Example 6-1.

Who has been served by Waiter Smith?

get patron.LAST-NAME where

exists meal in MEAL:

(meal.THE-PATRON=patron and

meal.THE-PARTY.THE-WAITER.LAST-NAME="Smith")

Abbreviation:

Queries which output only one value may be specified without the "where condition" part, as:

get expression

(provided the expression depends on no variables).

Example 6-2.

The following is a yes-or-no query which displays 'TRUE' if every patron has eaten at least once at the club.

get (for every \( p \) in PATRON: exists meal in MEAL: \( p=meal.THE-PATRON \))

Headings of output columns:

The columns in a table which is an output of \text{get} can be labeled:

get heading \(_1\): \( e_1 \), heading \(_2\): \( e_2 \), ..., heading \(_n\): \( e_n \)

where \text{condition}

Example 6-3.

Print a table with two columns, which associates patrons to their waiters. Only last names are printed.

get Waiter-who-served: waiter.LAST-NAME,

Patron-served: patron.LAST-NAME

where

exists meal in MEAL:

meal.THE-PATRON=patron

and

meal.THE-PARTY.THE-WAITER=waiter

When no heading for \( e_i \) is specified, then, by default, the following heading is assumed:

- if \( e_i \) ends in "relation", then the heading is the relation;
- otherwise the heading is the number \( i \).

Example 6-4.

The query

get \( x.LAST-NAME, x.BIRTH-DATE \)

where \( x \) is a PATRON

produces a two-column printout with headings LAST-NAME, BIRTH-DATE

7. Other features

Other features of the language, beyond the limits of this short paper, include: aggregate operations: sum, count, average; shorthand notation for n-ary relationships; update transactions; parametric query forms; data definition language; specification of integrity constraints; and specification of views.

8. Implementation

We have implemented this language under the UNIX operating system. We have developed an experimental translator from this language into C with subroutine calls to our experimental semantic database management system. We have also implemented another translator for a large subset of this language under the MS-DOS operating system. The latter translator is intended for personal computers.
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